Argentina

Since the mid-twentieth century, contributory family allowances have been the main mechanism for providing economic security to children and adolescents in Argentina.

In 2009, the Universal Child Allowance (UCA) was introduced in response to the effects of the global economic crisis, with the aim of consolidating several non-contributory transfer programmes for families with children. This non-contributory cash transfer programme expanded coverage to children under age 18 (and disabled children without any age limit), as well as to unemployed workers, informal workers, domestic workers, temporary workers and social monotributistas.

The provision of income insurance for families with children and adolescents is made up of three components: contributory family allowances (CFA), non-contributory family allowances and tax deductions from income (tax on earnings) for higher income workers with children. Together, these three components reach 84.6 per cent of children and adolescents in Argentina. In absolute terms, some 10.6 million children and adolescents are covered by an income transfer mechanism.

Main lessons learned

• The integration of the contributory and non-contributory components is a strategy to guarantee the consolidation of a comprehensive social security system and to ensure the universal protection of children and adolescents, in accordance with the provisions of ILO Social Protection Floors Recommendation, 2012 (No. 202), and the Convention on the Rights of the Child.

• The introduction of the UCA has enabled the development of a system to support the income of families with children, according to the employment status and income earned of the adults responsible for the children and adolescents. The system has three components: contributory component, non-contributory component and tax deductions for higher income workers.

• Studies have shown that the policy to extend social protection through the UCA has had a major impact on reducing extreme poverty and inequality and on increasing school attendance of adolescents aged 16 and 17.
1. Background
Since the late 1990s, several initiatives have been introduced to provide income security for households with children. During the 2000s, social assistance programmes used the presence of children in the household as a reference; programmes for the social protection of children were also implemented at the sub-national level. The UCA was introduced in Argentina as a result of years of intense discussion on proposals designed to universalize protection of children and adolescents. One of the most noteworthy proposals was the extension of family allowances. The almost universal coverage achieved is due to several factors, most notably the implementation of the UCA, the increase in formal employment that expanded contributory coverage levels and the incorporation of monotributistas into the CFA component (April 2016). Monotributistas are mainly low-income, self-employed workers participating in the Simplified Regime for Small-scale Contributors, known as the Monotributo. This is a simplified, integrated tax system that rolls income, value-added and social security taxes into a single monthly payment.

In legal terms, the UCA was created through a Decree of the National Executive Branch (1.602/09), which modified the Law of Family Allowances (No. 24.714). This decree established the incorporation of a non-contributory sub-system within the General Family Allowance Regime. In other words, both types of benefits are now regulated by this Law.

2. Structure and main characteristics
The provision of income security for children and adolescents in Argentina has three components:

- **Contributory family allowances (CFA)** composed of the “Family Child Allowance”, which covers the dependents of formal middle- and low-income employees, beneficiaries of certain social security guarantees (unemployment and work injury) and, since April 2016, dependents of workers of the Monotributo regime.
- **Non-contributory family allowances** is composed of the Universal Child Allowance (UCA), which is a semi-conditional cash transfer for each child and disabled child of unemployed workers, those in the informal economy, social monotributistas, temporary workers and domestic workers. The cash transfer is semi-conditional: 80 per cent is granted through the usual system of social security payments while the remaining 20 per cent is paid once the main beneficiary confirms health check-ups, immunization records and certification of completion of the school year by their children and/or adolescents, whichever is the case. The UCA is a component of the non-contributory pillar, along with family allowances for dependents of old-age pension beneficiaries and of certain non-contributory pension beneficiaries (disability and former soldiers in the Falklands War).
- **Deduction or tax credit for each child** for higher income workers who pay income tax. The tax credit is the component available to higher income workers who pay individual income tax.

Low-income beneficiaries of the CFA and UCA receive the same amount, 1,103 Argentina Pesos (ARS) per
In 2015, the automatic indexation of benefits (twice annually) based on the pension mobility index was established by law.

The National Social Security Administration (ANSES) is responsible for managing both contributory and non-contributory family allowances. In other words, the ANSES receives, processes and evaluates programme applications and pays both benefits.

The integration of the contributory and non-contributory components (CFA and UCA) pave the way for the consolidation of a “comprehensive social security system”, as established in the ILO Social Protection Floors Recommendation, 2012 (No. 202).

Nearly six years after the UCA was implemented, evidence indicates that these high-coverage social protection programmes do not negatively impact the labour market. This positive result is largely due to the coordination among programmes that guarantee income and promote active labour market policies.

Several factors explain the fact that approximately 15 per cent of children and adolescents are not covered under any scheme. This group mainly includes children and adolescents whose parents are: i) employees with higher earnings – or slightly lower earnings – than the established ceilings; ii) higher income monobeneficiaries; iii) independent workers; or iv) immigrants residing in the country for less than three years. The situation of children and adolescents not under family care should also be mentioned given that they are not included in any of the current protection components.

Moreover, there are children and adolescents who are eligible for one of the established schemes but do not receive benefits for various reasons, such as problems with family relations, problems associated with their or their parents’ identification documents, and non-compliance with some requirements for access.

4. Impacts of UCA

Policies to extend social protection have had considerable impacts on reducing extreme poverty and inequality. Studies by Bertranou (2010) and Maurizio and Vázquez (2014) show that UCA reduced poverty rates, especially extreme poverty. There is also empirical evidence from studies by Hintze and Costa (2014) and Curcio and Beccaria (2013) that suggest that UCA contributes to improved income distribution, as measured by the Gini coefficient and income gaps.

Some studies also found that the UCA had a positive impact on school attendance for adolescents between the ages of 16 and 17 (the group with the highest dropout rates), as well as on reducing child labour (Jiménez and Jiménez, 2015). Nevertheless, given the lack of available standardized data, more evidence is needed on the impact of this programme on school attendance, particularly secondary school.

The implementation of this policy also led to a 50 per cent increase in the number of children and a 14 per cent increase in the number of pregnant women enrolled in the SUMAR Plan, which provides guaranteed health benefits (MSAL, 2012).

5. Challenges

The main challenges for policies to guarantee income security for children and adolescents are:

- Despite efforts to increase UCA coverage, the challenge remains to incorporate a large number of eligible children and adolescents who, for different reasons, face barriers to accessing benefits.
- The role of established conditions needs to be redefined to emphasize the “universal right” of children and adolescents to health and education.
- Sufficiency of UCA benefits should be assessed, so children and adolescents can move out of poverty.
- A micro-assessment of the UCA should be conducted to identify bottlenecks and propose reforms that help facilitate implementation and compliance with established conditions.
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